Frontiers in regional-scale seismology and the synergy between seismological and geodetic facilities and capacity building

- overview of leading edge research and future facility needs at regional scales using examples from Antarctica and Africa
- address future opportunities for Int'l collaboration and capacity building using AfricaArray as a model

Andy Nyblade Penn State University

Future Seismic and Geodetic Facility Needs in the Geosciences Workshop, May 4, 2015

IRIS + UNAVCO = TRANSFORMATIVE SEISMOLOGY AND GEODESY AT REGIONAL SCALES

UNAVCO campaign stations





IRIS PASSCAL deployments

Larger Temporary Seismic and GPS Deployments



A-NET/POLENET - USA-NSF PIs & Key Contributors:

Terry Wilson, Ohio State University Paul Winberry, Central Washington University Mike Willis, Cornell University Doug Wiens, Washington University Bob Smalley, University of Memphis Andy Nyblade, Penn State University Stephanie Konfal, Ohio State University Eric Kendrick, Ohio State University Audrey Huerta, Central Washington University Larry Hothem, USGS Ian Dalziel, Univ. Texas Institute for Geophysics Mike Bevis, Ohio State University Sridhar Anandakrishnan, Penn State University Rick Aster, Colorado State University

Graduate students and Postdocs !



Program for Array Seismic Studies of the Continental Lithosphere





Glacial rebound & sea level

GPS: measure rebound



Seismology: measure Earth properties

GIA models: Improve 'rebound' correction for spaceborne measurements: Ice mass change

Sea level change predictions



Structure of the West Antarctic Rift System and Marie Byrd Land 500 km PRFs + _BSRFs; Ramirez et al., in prep ambient Noise Ice Elevation (km) 1.5 Chaput et al., Bedrock 0.5 2014 -0.5 -1.5 WARS MBDM Depth (km) -15 -20 Moho -160 -25 -190 -170--180° -30 -35 200 400 1200 600 800 1000 0 25 35 20 30 40 Distance (km) Crustal Thickness (km) d 220 km WM MBL WARS 2000 m Heeszel et 0 m al. in prep -2000 m 250 km 500 km 750 km 1000 km 0 km -06-0 km 100 km Lloyd et al^{200 km} **Ross Sea** 300 km in prep 400 km 180° S-wave velocity anomaly (9 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7

-2

-1

0

1

2

V_{SV} (km/s)

Crustal displacements and mantle viscosity inferred from seismic velocity



Thin crust and weak mantle beneath West Antarctica results in: 1) relaxation of LGM-induced crustal motion

- 2) a strong elastic response to modern ice mass change
- 3) a likely viscoelastic response to centennial ice mass changes

Future opportunities for Int'l collaboration and capacity building

- Synergistic research leads to opportunities for joint geodetic-seismic capacity building activities
 - Autonomous Remote Stations workshop, ISAES, Goa, July 2015
- Int'l collaboration
 - UNAVCO + IRIS already leaders internationally in equipment design, testing, and deployment
 - Frontier area of research with strong international participation













- Started in 2004
 - founding partners: Penn State, Univ. of the Witwatersrand (Wits), Council for Geoscience (aka Geol. Survey of South Africa (+ IRIS support)
 - Intervention to rebuild the geophysics program at Wits
- Key components to a multifaceted initiative:
 1) seismic, GPS, weather networks in Africa
 2) Undergraduate and graduate research and education programs (Africa and US)
 - 3) Diversity programs in Africa and US
 - 4) Project based funding + NSF I&F facility support



AfricaArray Observatory Network

- 51 stations
- 48 seismic stations
- 27 GPS/met stations
- 19 countries
- Continuous recording
- Data recovery 70-80%
- Data availability: IRIS and UNAVCO
- Data retrieval:
 - A few countries realtime using cell modems
 - Elsewhere monthly

O&M Model

- Highly leveraged
- In-country operator
- Network manager (NSF, PSU, Wits support)
- AA director
- Many stations part of national networks

AfricaArray and other 4 temporary networks in K E N Y A **East Africa** 0 AfricaArray stations (perm.) O GSN stations **V** KRISP 1985 -4 ★ KRISP 1989-1990 KBSE (2001-2002) △ TBSE (1994-1995) ★ AAEASE Phase I (2007-2008) AAEASE Phase II (2008-2010) -8 ▼ AATBSE (2010-2011) AAEASE Phase III (2010-2011) .12 Elevation (m) 4500 3000 2000 -16 1500 1000 Z FM BA 500 100 0 100 200 km -20 24 28 32 36 40 20

Large low-shear-velocity provinces, Ultralow-velocity zones and Superplumes?



Regional tomography – origin of rifting and deep cratonic structure



Hansen et al., 2012

A global/continental scale vs. regional scale tomography



Using mantle transition zone discontinuities to investigate temperature anomalies



Mulibo and Nyblade, 2013



P410s

P660





Mulibo and Nyblade, 2013

The African superplume (structure) is a wholemantle feature and the origin of E. African Cenozoic tectonism is rooted in lower mantle dynamics

 connection across the mid-mantle is broad but poorly understood





Yuen et al, 2007

GPS studies – understanding the plate boundary developing above the superplume

- GPS added to AfricaArray network starting in 2010
- Role of gravitational potential energy and viscous coupling between mantle and lithosphere





Saria et al., 2013

(from Sarah Stamps)



International Collaboration – The AfricaArray Model

- Education and training is key (human capacity building)
 - PhD, MS, BS, technician
 - Completed: 60 BSc honours, 18 MS, 11 PhD, 13 Postdocs
 - 96 underrepresented minority undergraduate students in US
- Partnerships built from the bottom up grass roots organization
 - 19 Universities; 25 Gov't organizations; 19 companies; 6 academic and industry societies; IRIS and UNAVCO
- Sustained engagement by partners
 - AfricaArray is 10 yrs old
- Low tech
 - research network with long latency in data return

Future International Collaboration

- International collaborations within UNAVCO and IRIS are extensive already and vital
- AfricaArray & Polenet possible because of strong core programs in IRIS and UNAVCO
 - data management, technical support, equipment, training
- Future opportunities for new AfricaArrays and Polenets?
 - Many, as long as core facility programs remain strong

Future Needs for Making the Next Big Advances in Our Science at Regional Scales

- A robust, state-of-the art portable instrument pool
 - initial capitalization of seismic equipment from NSF but subsequent major additions from outside of NSF (is this a sustainable model? i.e., someone else buys the equipment and NSF supports its O&M)

- Highly skilled technical staff that also provide training to students, postdocs, faculty
 - we tend to forget the core educational function that the facilities provide!

Future Needs for Making the Next Big Advances in Our Science at Regional Scales

- Increasing demand for improved resolution and rising field costs are challenges for the current way of doing our science. Expanding the frontier in our science requires:
 - cheaper, better, lighter, stronger, more easy to deploy, broader bandwidth, portable telemetered sensors!
- Generational advances in our field have been driven by technological advances
 - WWSSN, GSN, force-feedback seismometer, GPS
 - we (the community with facility engagement) have a need to identify and help develop the next breakthrough technologies



A Facility Plan for Polar Seismic and Geodetic Science:

Meeting Community Needs Through IRIS and UNAVCO Polar Services



NOVEMBER 2012

The Facility Plan Writing Committee: Andrew Myblade (Chair), Jason Amundson, Samantha Hansen, Erik Mins, Matt Lazzara, Meredith Netties, Carol Raymond, Leigh Steams



Fig 3a: UNAVCO polar data holdings showing nearly 100,000 site days in the archive (Dec 2011).



Fig 3b: Cumulative SEED data archived at IRIS DMC (GB) from polar stations.





Permanent Stations







Zambia





